

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/036,622	RASHID ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Michael J. Moore, Jr.	2616	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Condition for Allowance

(1) Michael J. Moore, Jr.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Kent J. Sieffert (Reg. No. 41,312).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 30 October 2006
**Time:** 10:00am
**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*An obviousness-type double patenting issue between the claims of record in this application and U.S. Patent No. 7,082,139 was brought to Mr. Sieffert's attention. Mr. Sieffert agreed to file a Terminal Disclaimer to obviate this issue.*
**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)